





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/917,019	07/25/2001	Ira J. Simon	10384	9665	
;	7590 07/03/2003				
David Weiss			EXAM	EXAMINER	
12650 Riverside Drive Suite 100 North Hollywood, CA 91607-3492			GALL, LI	LOYD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676	3676	
DATE MAILED: 07/03/2		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	}		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.    Op9917.019   SIMON ET AL			_		$\wedge$
## Examiner   Loyd A. Gall   3576  ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   1576  ## AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ## SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication in the date of the maining date of the communication in the process of the date of the date of the date of the communication.  ## BY (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication in the maining date of the communication in the date of the date of the date of the communication in the date of the da			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Lloyd A. Gall   3676	, ,		09/917,019	SIMON ET AL.	
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address.  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estations of size may by a swelled under the procession of J CER 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a righty be briefy filled  Estations of size may be a swelled under the procession of J CER 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a righty be briefy filled  Estations of size may be a swelled with the marking (20) cays, a righty within the salutiony minorum of lenity (20) days will be considered sinely.  Estations or right within the size of extended particle for righty will by statuto, cause the application to become ABAMCHOED (50 U.S.C. § 133).  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 09 April 2003.  22a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is final the practice under fix party Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21,23-23 and 35-52 is/are pending in the application.  4) Of the above claim(s) 46-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 3-18,19-21,23-24,31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 3-18,19-21,23-24,31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The proposed drawing correction filled on	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	A
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **after 3X (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  **If the period for mays specified store, the machine standing part of the communication.  **If the period for mays specified store, the machine standing part of the communication.  **If the period for mays specified store, the machine standing part of the standing part of the period of the perio			Lloyd A. Gall	3676	1//
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Satisfies of Communication of the Province of State of Communication of Communication of State of Communication of Com			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of imer may be waited under the provisions of 3 CFR 1 13(6). If no event, however, may a right be timely field after \$3.00 MONTINS from the mailing date of field communication.  It NO goord for regit is spoilable under the provision of 10 per provision of the communication of the provision of		, •••	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/	S) EDOM	
2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-21,23-33 and 35-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 46-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 3-38 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 3-18,19-21,23,24,31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) accepted or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Ackn	THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-21,23-33 and 35-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 46-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  10) Notice of References Cited (PTO-948)  30   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4)   Information Disclosure St	1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	<i>pril 2003</i> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) \( \times \times \frac{1}{2}.2.3.3 \) and 35-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) \( \frac{4}{2}.6.53 \) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) \( \times \times \frac{1}{2}.2.3.24 \) and 35-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) \( \times \times \frac{1}{2}.2.3.24.31-33.39.40 \) and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7) \( \times \times \frac{1}{2}.3.25-30.41 \) and 42 is/are objected to.  8) \( \times \times \frac{1}{2}.2.2.2.2.3.24.31-33.39.40 \) and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7) \( \times \times \times \frac{1}{2}.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-21,23-33 and 35-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 46-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ∑ Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed.  6) ∑ Claim(s) 1-8.19-21,23,24.31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected.  7) ∑ Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ∑ The drawing(s) filled on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) ∑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 19(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  21 ☐ Notice of Orteshsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  31 ☐ Information Disdosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,	closed in accordance with the practice under the			e merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>46-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	·		in the application		
5) ☐ Claim(s) 35-38 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.19-21,23,24,31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18.25-30.41 and 42 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•		• •		
6   Claim(s) 1-8.19-21,23,24,31-33,39,40 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some *c   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			ii iioiii consideration.		
7   Claim(s) 9-18,25-30,41 and 42 is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some *c  None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  20   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21   Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  50   Other:	<u> </u>		15 is/are rejected		
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b			-		
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.	<u> </u>	•	election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  All Interview Summary (PTO-113) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		·	•.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6)  Other:	· _			y the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	·			•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	11)[ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)		If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:		application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional	application).
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:					
	2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P		

Art Unit: 3676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, 23-33 and 35-45, drawn to a lock apparatus, classified in class 70, subclass 224.
- II. Claims 46-53, drawn to a method of installing a door lock, classified in class 70, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as installing the lock body and cylinder lock to the door after the trim plate has been secured to the door.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Newly submitted claims 46-53 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: See the above reason.

Art Unit: 3676

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 46-53 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arens.

Arens teaches a cylindrical spindle 38 as seen in fig. 4, a lock body 14, a latchbolt 28, a retractor 46, 64 for the latchbolt, a lever handle 32, and a lock 80 in the handle which cooperates with slots 88 and 115 to lock the handle both in its latchbolt latched and unlatched positions. The lock 80 is key-operated by use with a key-type padlock as seen in fig. 1. The locking of the handle in its unlatched positions serves as a visual indicator of the condition of the latchbolt.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3676

Claims 33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noca in view of Arens.

Noca teaches a cylindrical lock apparatus (1) including a latchbolt 3, a lock body 1, a retractor 7 for receiving a spindle, and a lever handle 12. Arens has been discussed above. To provide a key-operated lock for use with the lever handle of Noca, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Arens, the motivation being to prevent unauthorized unlatching of the latchbolt by one who does not possess the proper key.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 19-21, 23, 31, 39, 40, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (754) in view of Best et al (101) and Mullich et al.

Jones teaches a trim pull handle plate 37, 43, 44, a cylindrical 24 lock apparatus including a bolt 16, a lock body 17, a spindle 24 (or a spindle defined by the portion of element 22 which is engaged in socket 24), a conical attachment plate 26 with an opening in registry with the trim plate opening to receive the mortise cylinder lock including a housing 23 and a rotatable cylinder (or key plug), a handle 25 secured to the spindle, and a cam 22 secured to and rotatable with the cylinder, and securing means 33 extending from the housing 29 through the lock body 17 and into the cylinder lock 23 whereby the lock body 17 is secured to the trim plate independently of the door and inwardly of the trim plate, and the housing 23 is secured to the trim plate independently of the door and outwardly of the lock body 17. The openings at 27 of the attachment plate and the trim plate allow the cylinder 23 to be removed relative to the attachment plate and trim plate leftwardly in fig. 1 after the screws 33 are removed. Best et al (101)

Art Unit: 3676

teaches a key cylinder and knob 17 used with a latchbolt 10. Mullich et al teaches a retractor 88 used with a bolt and spindle/cam 48 and allowing the use of screw 54 extending through the lock body 80 as seen in fig. 8. To utilize a latchbolt with the lock of Jones would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Best et al, to allow the bolt to be projected upon closing the door. To utilize a retractor with the cam/spindle 22, 24 of Jones, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Mullich et al, to transfer key and handle 25 movement to sliding movement of the bolt.

Claims 6 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Jones reference as applied to claims 1 and 23 above, and further in view of the Photograph of door pull manufactured by Triangle Brass Manufacturing.

The Photograph teaches a door pull with tapering top and bottom edges. To substitute a trim plate with a tapering door pull for the trim plate of Jones, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of the Photograph, to provide a large gripping surface portion, to simplify opening of the door.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Jones reference as applied to claim 31 above, and further in view of Shen (760).

Shen teaches trim and attachment plates 11, 12 adapting a lock 30 for removal capabilities upon rotation of a key cylinder. To modify the trim and attachment plates of Jones to allow cylinder removal upon key rotation, would have been obvious in view of the teaching of Shen, the motivation being to allow a re-keyed lock to be installed to optimize security, as is well known in the lock art.

Art Unit: 3676

Claims 9-18, 25-30, 41 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 35-38 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 19-21, 23, 24, 31-33, 39, 40 and 43-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 703-308-0828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

LG LG June 27, 2003

Pamary Examiner

Rloyd a stall

Page 6